

FET

proposals
evaluation

Pierpaolo Malinverni



Evaluation criteria (IP)

Criterion	Weight	Score
1. Relevance	1	
2. Potential impact	1	
3. S&T excellence	1	
4. Quality of the consortium	1	
5. Quality of the management	1	
6. Mobilisation of resources	1	
TOTAL SCORE (<i>maximum 30</i>)		

Note : the threshold is 24



Evaluation criteria (NoE)

Criterion	Weight	Score
1. Relevance	1	
2. Potential impact	1	
3. Excellence of the participants	1	
4. Degree of integration and the JPA	1	
5. Organisation and management	1	
TOTAL SCORE (<i>maximum 25</i>)		

Note : the threshold is 20



experts / evaluators

http://www.cordis.lu/experts/fp6_candidature.htm

4.12.2002 Official Journal of the European Communities

Call addressed to individuals for the establishment of a database of prospective independent experts to assist the Commission 's services for tasks in connection with the sixth RTD framework programme (2002 to 2006) (2002/C 300 A/01)

Call addressed to organisations to propose lists of prospective independent experts to assist the Commission 's services for tasks in connection with the sixth RTD framework programme (2002 to 2006) (2002/C 300 A/02)



Role of commission staff

Commission staff do not assess the proposals per se. They rather manage the evaluation process and provide all the background information that is necessary to have an evaluation session that is fair and efficient. Even if asked, they may not express any opinion on the merits or otherwise of any proposal.



Expert's conflict of interest

The Conflict of Interest declaration asks for indication of any proposal with which they or (as far as they know) their employer is associated. An expert who is personally involved in the preparation of a proposal which will be evaluated in the Strategic objective for which he is invited to evaluate must decline the invitation to be an evaluator.

Evaluators who have other forms of direct or indirect conflicts of interest, for example
are currently or recently employed by a proposing organisation,
are employed in an organisation directly competing with an organisation involved
in a proposal,

will not participate in the evaluation of proposals for which such a direct or indirect conflict of interest exists and will be excluded from any consensus group or panel discussions of such proposals.



experts

- | experts are selected by commission staff on the basis of their scientific expertise in the area
- | national and gender balance are also considered
- | regular rotation of evaluators between evaluations
- | it is a big problem to find the best people available to come to Brussels for a relatively long time (typically one full week)



evaluation process

- | Proposals are mailed to experts
- | experts meet in Brussels
- | experts rank proposals
- | depending on the available budget, the EC proposes which proposals shall be funded
- | the ISTC committee (member states) approve/disapprove the EC proposal
- | the formal decision is taken by the Commission College itself

